

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Solid State Chemistry 179 (2006) 2656-2662

JOURNAL OF SOLID STATE CHEMISTRY

www.elsevier.com/locate/jssc

# System-pH-dependent supramolecular isomers of puckered three-dimensional layered hydrogen-bonded networks: Syntheses, characterization and fluorescent properties

Pang-Kuan Chen, Yun-Xia Che, Yu-Mei Li, Ji-Min Zheng\*

Department of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

Received 1 March 2006; received in revised form 23 April 2006; accepted 30 April 2006 Available online 10 May 2006

## Abstract

Two true supramolecular isomers (1 and 2) formulated as  $[Zn(bipy)(H_2btc)_2]_n$  (bipy = 2,2'-bipyridine, H<sub>3</sub>btc = benzene-1,3,5tricarboxylic acid) have been hydrothermally prepared through systematically changing the pH value of reaction mixture, and characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, element analysis, infrared spectra (IR), and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). Isomer 1 crystallizes in triclinic space group *P*-1, tetrahedral Zn(II) ions possess four-coordinated environment, while isomer 2 crystallizes in monoclinic space group *C*2/*c* and the distorted octahedral Zn(II) ions adopt six-coordinated fashion. 1 and 2 can be regarded as supramolecular structural isomers, the formation of which should be undoubtedly attributed to the preference for pHdependent crystallization leading to multiple connectivity (monodentate and chelating bidentate) of organic ligand despite the same stoichiometry employed. The supramolecular chemistry, which organizes the coordination complexes into three-dimensional (3D) layered open structure, is driven by a combination of hydrogen-bond and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions. Moreover, 1 emits fluorescence at 350.1 nm ( $\lambda_{ex} = 310.0$  nm) and 2 exhibits fluorescent property at about 351.4 nm ( $\lambda_{ex} = 302.0$  nm).

© 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: pH-dependent; Supramolecular isomers; Fluorescence; Hydrothermal synthesis; Characterization

#### 1. Introduction

Long time ago, isomer was extensively investigated in the field of organic chemistry. Importantly, when the difference between coordination polymers [1] and supramolecular architectures [2] became unconspicuous, the concept of supramolecular isomerism [3] was introduced into crystal engineering by Zaworotko and coworkers to interpret their synthetic and structural relationship. For given metal centers and multifunctional bridging ligands, various connectivity manners can result in a series of supramolecular isomers which were defined as the occurrence of more than one superstructure arising from the same reagent. The final arrangements of supramolecule are significantly influenced by comprehensive factors such as reaction time, temperature, pH value, medium and the metal-to-ligand

E-mail address: jmzheng@public.tpt.tj.cn (J.-M. Zheng).

ratio. Therefore, it is still a formidable challenge to predict the precise superstructure of those high-dimensional polymers with complicated ligands.

Much attentions [4–14] have been recently paid to the deliberate design and controlled synthesis of supramolecular isomerism owing to their potential applications as well as abundant structural information. Taking into account the different structure characteric, several cases of structural isomerism [5,7] conformational isomerism [10,13] and optical isomerism [14] have been reported previously. Based on the cause to isomerism, some examples tuned via template molecules (solvent guest) [6-8], conformational conversion of ligand [10,13], ringopening polymerization [6b,9,10a,14] and reaction-temperature-induced isomerism [12b,12c], have been published in the literature. Because different solvent molecules were involved in the corresponding coordination networks, most of those mentioned above should be classified as pseudopolymorphism [5,6]. Strictly speaking, only several cases of

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Fax: +86 22 23502458.

<sup>0022-4596/\$ -</sup> see front matter  $\odot$  2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jssc.2006.04.048



Scheme 1. The synthetic procedure of isomers 1 and 2.

true supramolecular isomerism with a fixed stoichiometry for all components were documented [10c,11,12a,12b] so far, which can be further categorized into two types, namely the building blocks intrinsic-nature-induced (conformational ligand) and extrinsic-stimulation-induced (temperature and acidity/basicity) isomers.

Aromatic polycarboxylic acids are widely used as space ligands because of their sterical rigidity and chemical robustness, in which multiple coordination fashions of carboxyl such as monodentate, bidentate (bridging) and chelating, can likely yield structural isomers. As illustrated in the two compounds  $\alpha$ -[Co(dcbp)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>] and  $\beta$ -[Co(dcbp)  $(H_2O)_2$ ] (dcbp = 4,4'-dicarboxy-2,2'-bipyridine) [12a], they display different 3D architectures as a result of various coordination modes of carboxyl in dcbp ligand: the former is bis-monodentate while the latter have both bridging bidentate and noncoordinated carboxylate groups. Although three cases of pH-induced pseudopolymorphs  $Co_3(TMA)_2$  (TMA = benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylate) with solvent molecules were ever described [15], we select polycarboxylic H<sub>3</sub>btc to synthesize true supramolecular isomer through changing the pH value of reaction system.

On the other hand,  $d^{10}$  electron configuration transition metal complexes possibly possess luminescent properties. The aforementioned ideas prompt us to employ multidentate H<sub>3</sub>btc as organic linkers and chelated bipy as an auxiliary ligand. In this contribution, we present two true supramolecular isomers without guest molecules, the formation of which were found to be extremely sensitive to the pH values of the reaction mixture (see Scheme 1). Of particular interest is that they both possess extended open structures, which evidently differ from the interconversion between polymeric chain and closed ring. Another feature is that the 3D frameworks are mainly sustained by hydrogen-bond interactions.

# 2. Experimental

# 2.1. Materials and general procedures

All chemicals were of reagent grade and were used as purchased from the Rgent company of chemical reagent in Tianjin without further purification. The IR spectra were recorded as KBr pellets on a FT-IR 170SX (Nicolet) spectrometer in the 4000–400 cm<sup>-1</sup> region. Elemental analyses for C, H and N were performed on a Perkin-Elmer 240 analyzer. Fluorescent analyses were carried out on an Edinburgh Instruments analyzer model FL920. The DSC measurements were performed on a NETZSCH DSC 204 with a heating rate of 10  $^{\circ}$ C/min in the temperature range of 25–590  $^{\circ}$ C.

#### 2.2. Syntheses

Two same mixtures of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> (0.123 g, 0.9 mmol), bipy (0.140 g, 0.9 mmol), and H<sub>3</sub>btc (0.126 g, 0.6 mmol) in the molar ratio of 3:3:2 were both dissolved in 8 ml distilled water. The pH values were adjusted to 4(1) and 6(2) with 2 M KOH, respectively. Then, the resulting solution were transferred and sealed in two 25 mL Teflon-lined stainlesssteel vessels, which were heated at 170 °C for 3 days. After the reactors were slowly cooled to room temperature at a rate of 5 °C/h, colorless block-shaped (1) and grain-shaped (2) crystals were filtered off, washed with distilled water and dried in air (yield: 52% and 56% based on ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, respectively). Anal. Calcd. (%) for [Zn(bipy)(H<sub>2</sub>btc)<sub>2</sub>] (Mr = 639.81): C, 52.56; H, 2.84; N, 4.38. Found (1): C, 52.11: H. 2.93: N. 4.42. Found (2): C.52.20: H. 2.97: N. 4.34. Selected IR spectra for 1: v (cm<sup>-1</sup>) = 1715, 1698 s, 1614, 1558, 1541, 1442 m, 1252 s, 700 m; and for 2: v  $(cm^{-1}) = 1717 s, 1698, 1613, 1444 m, 1221 s, 764, 753 m.$ 

#### 2.3. Crystal structure determinations

Crystal data and experimental details are summarized in Table 1. Single crystal analyses were performed at 293(2) K with a Bruker SMART 1000 CCD diffractometer with MoKa radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) by the  $\omega - 2\theta$  scan technique. All data were collected for absorption by semi-empirical method using SADABS program. The program SAIN [16] was applied for integration of the diffraction profiles. Data analysis was carried out with program XPREP. The structure was solved with direct method using SHELXS-97 followed by structure refinement on  $F^2$  with program SHELXL-97 [17]. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Aromatic hydrogen atoms were assigned to calculated positions with isotropic thermal parameters. Carboxylic acid hydrogen atoms were placed from different maps and refined subject to O-H distance restraints. The CCDC reference numbers are 281580 (1) and 281579 (2). Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 2.

## 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1. Description of crystal structures

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis reveals that 1 and 2 are structural isomers without any guest molecules in

Table 1			
Crystal data	and structure	e refinement for	r 1 and 2

Complex	1	2
Empirical formula	$C_{28}H_{18}N_2O_{12}Zn$	C <sub>28</sub> H <sub>18</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>12</sub> Zn
Formula weight	639.81	639.81
Crystal system	Triclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> -1	C2/c
a (Å)	8.0794(10)	9.9352(9)
b (Å)	8.8464(11)	15.6901(15)
c (Å)	19.628(3)	16.6642(16)
α (°)	90.859(2)	
$\beta$ (°)	98.774(2)	92.9490(10)
γ (°)	108.913(2)	
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	1308.5(3)	2594.2(4)
Z	2	4
$D_{\rm calc} ({\rm mgm^{-3}})$	1.624	1.638
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	1.012	1.021
F (000)	652	1304
$\Theta$ range (°)	2.10-25.03	2.43-25.03
Limiting indices		
U U	$-9 \leqslant h \leqslant 9, -10 \leqslant k \leqslant 10,$	$-11 \le h \le 11, -16 \le k \le 18,$
	$-22 \leq l \leq 23$	$-19 \leqslant l \leqslant 19$
Reflections collected/unique	7168/4575 $[R_{(int)} = 0.0150]$	$6905/2281 [R_{(int)} = 0.0266]$
Completeness to $\theta = 25.03$	98.6%	100.0%
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.038	1.086
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]^{a}$	$R_1 = 0.0360, wR_2 = 0.0944$	$R_1 = 0.0319, wR_2 = 0.0859$
Largest diff. peak and hole $(e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	0.533, -0.271	0.370, -0.463

$${}^{a}R_{1} = \Sigma(||F_{o}| - |F_{c}||)/\Sigma|F_{o}|; wR_{2} = [\Sigma w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}/\Sigma w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}]^{1/2}$$

Table 2 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 1 and 2

1			
Zn(1)–O(7)	1.9450(18)	Zn(1)–O(2)	1.9650(17)
Zn(1)-N(2)	2.043(2)	Zn(1)-N(1)	2.054(2)
O(1)–C(17)	1.250(3)	O(2)–C(17)	1.268(3)
O(3)–C(18)	1.223(3)	O(4)–C(18)	1.301(3)
O(5)–C(19)	1.194(3)	O(6)–C(19)	1.314(3)
O(7)–Zn(1)–O(2)	119.00(8)	O(7)-Zn(1)-N(2)	104.19(9)
O(2)-Zn(1)-N(2)	119.98(8)	O(7)-Zn(1)-N(1)	126.77(8)
O(2)-Zn(1)-N(1)	101.60(8)	N(2)-Zn(1)-N(1)	80.47(9)
2			
Zn(1)–N(1)	2.0606(17)	Zn(1)-N(1)#1	2.0606(17)
Zn(1)–O(2)	2.0801(15)	Zn(1)-O(2)#1	2.0801(15)
Zn(1)-O(1)#1	2.3341(17)	Zn(1)-O(1)	2.3341(17)
N(1)-Zn(1)-N(1)#1	79.69(9)	N(1)-Zn(1)-O(2)	113.56(6)
N(1)#1-Zn(1)-O(2)	107.52(6)	N(1)-Zn(1)-O(2)#1	107.52(6)
N(1)#1-Zn(1)-O(2)#1	113.56(6)	O(2)-Zn(1)-O(2)#1	125.70(9)
N(1)-Zn(1)-O(1)#1	158.97(7)	N(1)#1-Zn(1)-O(1)#1	91.06(7)
O(2)-Zn(1)-O(1)#1	87.18(6)	O(2)#1-Zn(1)-O(1)#1	58.91(6)
N(1)-Zn(1)-O(1)	91.06(7)	N(1)#1-Zn(1)-O(1)	158.97(7)
O(2)–Zn(1)–O(1)	58.91(6)	O(2)#1-Zn(1)-O(1)	87.18(6)
O(1)#1-Zn(1)-O(1)	103.39(9)		

the coordination networks. Isomer 1 belongs to triclinic space group P-1, and 2 belongs to monoclinic space group C2/c. The atomic numbering scheme and atom connectivity are shown in Fig. 1. Each unit cell contains two

 $[Zn(bipy)(H_2btc)_2]$  molecules in **1**, and four  $[Zn(bipy)(H_2btc)_2]$  molecules in **2**. Although isomers **1** and **2** consist of the same building block  $[Zn(bipy)(H_2btc)_2]$ , they display different 3D hydrogen-bonded supramolecular framework.

Each asymmetric unit in 1 is composed of one crystallographic independent  $[Zn(bipy)(H_2btc)_2]$  molecule, in which the tetrahedral Zn(II) center is coordinated to two N, N'-chelating sites of bipy ligand (Zn-N = 2.043(2)-2.054(2) Å) and two oxygen atoms from two different carboxylate group (Zn-O = 1.945(18)-1.965(17) Å). Two aromatic rings of bipy are noncoplanar with a dihedral angle of 10.70°. Isomer 1 possesses two types of unique hydrogen-bond and details of these are given in Table 3. One is intrachain hydrogen-bond interactions (O11...  $O12 = 2.619 \text{ Å}, H11 \cdots O12 = 1.810 \text{ Å}, and \angle O11 - H11 \cdots$  $O12 = 168.71^{\circ}$ ), adjoining the discrete molecules [Zn (bipy)(H<sub>2</sub>btc)<sub>2</sub>] into a zigzag-chain (see Fig. 2a), at two sides of which those bipy ligands were alternately located. The other interchain hydrogen-bond ( $O6 \cdots O1 = 2.630 \text{ \AA}$ , H6...O1 = 1.871 Å and  $\angle$  O6–H6...O1 = 153.41°) bridges two adjacent zigzag-like chains to give a 2D undulate sheet (see Fig. 3a). According to the hydrogen-acceptor distances, these hydrogen-bonds are quite strong because they are shorter than the typical length [18] of 1.9–2.1 Å. In addition, two edge-sharing hydrogen-bonded 40- and 16membered rings were generated in the 2D sheet (Fig. 3a). The whole 3D-layered puckered motif (see Fig. 4a) was basically dominated by face-to-face  $\pi - \pi$  stacking



Fig. 1. Molecular structures of 1 (a) and 2 (b) showing the metal coordination environment (ORTEP plot with 30% thermal ellipsoids). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 3 Parameters of hydrogen-bond interaction in **1** and **2** 

$D-H\cdots A$	d (D–H) (Å)	$d (\mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}) (\mathbf{\mathring{A}})$	$d (\mathbf{D} \cdots \mathbf{A}) (\mathbf{\mathring{A}})$	$\angle (D-H\cdots A) (deg)$
1				
$O4-H4\cdots O^{i}$	0.820	1.833	2.650	175.09
O6−H6…O1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.820	1.871	2.630	153.41
O9−H9····O8 <sup>iii</sup>	0.820	1.899	2.664	154.71
$O11-H11\cdots O12^{iv}$	0.820	1.810	2.619	168.71
2				
$O3-H3\cdots O2^{v}$	0.820	1.942	2.699	153.20
O6–H6····O5 <sup>vi</sup>	0.820	1.830	2.618	160.78

(interlayer centroid–centroid separation of 3.400 Å) besides bus grids with din

interactions. The composition of asymmetric unit of isomer 2 resemble isomer 1. Unlike, the central Zn(II) ion is sixcoordinate with a highly twisted ZnN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> octahedron, which is chelated by two  $N_{pyridyl}$  (Zn-N = 2.061(17)Å), and the remaining coordination sites are occupied by four oxygen atoms from two  $COO^-$  ligands (Zn–O = 2.080(15)-2.334(17) Å). The bond angle N–Zn–N of  $79.69(9)^{\circ}$  is well consistent with that of  $80.47(9)^{\circ}$  in 1. Two pyridine rings are twisted with the deviation  $(7.85^{\circ})$ from the ideal value. One deprotonated carboxyl (-COO<sup>-</sup>) adopts chelating bidentate pattern (monodentate mode in 1) and the other two neutral carboxyl (-COOH) are noncoordinated and favor their participation in hydrogen bonding interaction. Isomer 2 was connected into a Zshaped chain (see Fig. 2b) by intrachain hydrogen-bond  $(O6 \cdots O5 = 2.618 \text{ Å}, H6 \cdots O5 = 1.830 \text{ Å} and \angle O6 - H6 \cdots$  $O5 = 160.78^{\circ}$ ). Neighboring chains interlink each other to furnish a 2D-stepped sheet (see Fig. 3b), in which those 68membered hydrogen-bonded rings containing quasi-rhom-

 $O-H\cdots\pi$  (3.151Å) and  $C-H\cdots\pi$  (2.259 and 2.903Å)

bus grids with dimension of  $17.938 \times 16.842$  Å based on the  $Zn \cdots Zn$  distances, are fused together via a 16-membered ring. The formation of the 2D sheet should be attributed to another hydrogen-bond  $(O3 \cdots O2 = 2.699 \text{ Å}, H3 \cdots O2 =$  $1.942 \text{ Å}, \ \angle \text{O3-H3} \cdots \text{O2} = 153.20^{\circ}$ ). In contrast, the 3Dlayered puckered structure (see Fig. 4b) in 2 was mainly stabilized by other O6-H6...O5 hydrogen bonds pointing above and below the rhombus grids, not by  $\pi - \pi$  stacking interactions. The most striking feature different from isomer 1 is the presence of 1D void channel with the size of ca.  $31.106 \times 12.975$  Å. According to the O···O separation (2.62 Å), this interaction is very strong. Finally, the configurations of isomers 1 and 2 completely differ from those complexes  $[Zn_{3,5}(\mu_3-OH)(btc)_2(bipy)_2 \cdot H_2O]_n$  and  $[Zn_2(H_2O)_3(2,2'-bipy)_2(btc)]$   $[Zn(H_2O)(2,2'-bipy)(btc)] \cdot 8H_2O$ reported [19] previously. The former consists of single metal center and pentanuclear clusters, while the latter is composed of independent cationic and anionic chains.

It is to be noted that the analogous reactions were synchronously carried out at pH = 2, 8 and 10, respectively. Unfortunately, we failed to obtain the desired products, suggesting that the crystallization and growth



Fig. 2. (a) 1D zigzag chains generated through the hydrogen bond (O11–H11 $\cdots$ O12) in **1** and (b) 1D Z-shaped chains generated via hydrogen bond (O6–H6 $\cdots$ O5) in **2**.

of these two isomers are highly sensitive to acidity/basicity of the reaction system. Curiously, although the pH values were dissimilar to each other, the deprotonated degree of carboxyl groups is equivalent, which is confirmed by the IR characteristic absorption at 1715 and 1717 cm<sup>-1</sup> showing the existance of -COOH. The isomeric relationship stems from the different connectivity of H<sub>3</sub>btc ligand, thus resulting in two types of 3D topologies. In isomer 1 the carboxyl coordinates in monodentate mode, whereas the carboxylate group in 2 adopts chelating coordination mode. Considering those previous complexes [20] where the BDC (BDC = 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate) ligands exhibit diverse connectivity, the variation of coordination fashions are profoundly impacted by the pH value of reaction system. The formation mechanisms of pHdependent supramolecular isomerism need to be further explored.

# 3.2. Fluorescent properties and DSC analyses

Some zinc-containing coordination polymers [21] usually exhibit fluorescence, and in our study we also investgated the fluorescent properties of isomers 1 and 2 in the solid state. As shown in Fig. S1, 1 and 2 display fluorescence at room temperature with the emission maxima at 350.1 nm ( $\lambda_{ex} = 310.0$  nm) and around 351.4 nm ( $\lambda_{ex} = 302.0$  nm), respectively. It has been proved that the free bipy emits at 535.0 nm ( $\lambda_{ex} = 347.0$  nm) [21b], and H<sub>3</sub>btc molecule emits in the range of 370–400 nm [22]. Thus, the similar emission spectra of these two complexes observed are likely assigned to intraligand  $n \rightarrow \pi^*$  transitions[23] within H<sub>3</sub>btc molecules. In comparison with the band for uncoordinated H<sub>3</sub>btc, the emission spectra for polymers appear hypsochromic shift which should be contributed by the coordination of Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions to the H<sub>3</sub>btc ligands.

To evaluate the thermal stability of the isomers, DSC analyses were performed in the temperature range of 25-590 °C. As shown in Fig. S2, an endothermic peak was observed at 390.8 °C corresponding to the decomposition of framework 1, while the lantern breakdown of 2 took place at 388.7 °C. The results suggest that isomer 1 is slightly stable than isomer 2, which can be ascribed to the difference of aromatic interaction.

# 4. Conclusions

In summary, two open polymeric supramolecular isomers were unprecedentedly isolated and structurally characterized. We have demonstrated what to our knowledge represent the first paradigm of genuine pH-dependent structural isomerism without guest molecules and ligand conformational change and ring-opening process. Our work indicated that the resulting structures were closely tied to the conditions adopted, especially to the solution acidity/basicity. We believe that not only the modular building blocks but also the external chemical stimulation play a crucial role in the assembly process. As expected, such unusual phenomenon endow us with an effective



Fig. 3. (a) 2D undulated sheet extended through the hydrogen bond (O6–H6…O1) in 1 showing edge-sharing hydrogen-bonded 40- and 16-membered rings and (b) 2D-stepped sheet extended through hydrogen bond (O3–H3…O2) in 2 showing quasi-rhombus grids. Blue balls represent the Zn(II) atoms. The bipy rings have been omitted for clarity.



Fig. 4. (a) Side view of the puckered 3D-layered motif in 1 sustained by  $\pi \cdots \pi$  stacking and (b) side view of the puckered 3D-layered motif in 2 driven by other hydrogen bond (O6–H6…O5) showing one channel.

strategy for the synthesis of supramolecular isome. Furthermore, this work will greatly advance our understanding of secondary noncovalent interactions (hydrogenbonding and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking).

## Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 50572040).

#### Supporting information available

X-ray crystallographic files in CIF format, fluorescent spectra and DSC curves of 1 and 2.

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at: doi:10.1016/j.jssc. 2006.04.048.

## References

- (a) M. Eddaoudi, D.B. Moler, H.L. Li, B.L. Chen, T.M. Reineke, M. O'Keeffe, O.M. Yaghi, Acc. Chem. Res. 34 (2001) 319;
  - (b) A.N. Khlobystov, A.J. Blake, N.R. Champness, D.A. Lemenovskii, A.G. Majouga, N.V. Zyk, M. Schroder, Coord. Chem. Rev. 222 (2001) 155;
  - (c) D. Hagrman, P.J. Hagrman, J. Zubieta, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38 (1999) 3165.
- [2] (a) P.N.W. Baxter, J.M. Lehn, G. Baum, G. Fenske, Chem.-Eur. J. 5 (1999) 102;
  - (b) L.R. MacGillivray, J.L. Atwood, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38 (1999) 1018;
  - (c) S. Leininger, B. Olenyuk, P.J. Stang, Chem. Rev. 100 (2000) 853;
  - (d) G.F. Swiegers, T.J. Malefetse, Chem. Rev. 100 (2000) 3483.
- [3] B. Moulton, M.J. Zaworotko, Chem. Rev. 101 (2001) 1629.
- [4] (a) S.H. Ma, D.M. Rudkevich, J. Rebek, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38 (1999) 2600;
  - (b) J. Bernstein, R.J. Davey, J.O. Henck, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38 (1999) 3440;
  - (c) S. Banfi, L. Carlucci, E. Caruso, G. Ciani, D.M. Proserpio, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (2002) 2714;
  - (d) P. Gamez, P. de Hong, O. Roubeau, M. Lutz, W.L. Driessen, A.L. Spek, J. Reedijk, Chem. Commun. (2002) 1488.
- [5] (a) T.L. Hennigar, D.C. MacQuarrie, P. Losier, R.D. Rogers, M.J. Zaworotko, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 36 (1997) 972;
  - (b) H. Abourahma, B. Moulton, V. Kravtsov, M.J. Zaworotko, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (2002) 9990.
- [6] (a) D.V. Soldatov, J.A. Ripmeester, S.I. Shergina, I.E. Sokolov, A.S. Zanina, S.A. Gromilov, Y.A. Dyadin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121 (1999) 4179;
  - (b) X.C. Huang, J.P. Zhang, X.M. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126 (2004) 13218;
  - (c) E. Tynan, P. Jensen, P.E. Kruger, A.C. Less, Chem. Commun. (2004) 776;
  - (d) C.B. Ma, C.N. Chen, Q.T. Liu, Cryst. Eng. Commun. 7 (2005) 650.
- [7] (a) A.J. Blake, N.R. Brooks, N.R. Champness, M. Crew, A. Deveson, D. Fenske, D.H. Gregory, L.R. Hanton, P. Hubberstey, M. Schröder, Chem. Commun. (2001) 1432;
  - (b) B. Zimmer, V. Bulach, M.W. Hosseini, A. De Cian, N. Kyritsakas, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2002) 3079.
- [8] (a) D.L. Long, A.J. Blake, N.R. Champness, C. Wilson, M. Schroder, Chem.-Eur. J. 8 (2002) 2026;

- (b) B. Rather, B. Moulton, R.D.B. Walsh, M.J. Zaworotko, Chem. Commun. (2002) 694;
- (c) X.C. Huang, J.P. Zhang, Y.Y. Lin, X.M. Chen, Chem. Commun. (2005) 2232.
- [9] (a) E. Lozano, M. Nieuwenhuyzen, S.L. James, Chem.-Eur. J. 7 (2001) 2644;
  - (b) Z.Q. Qin, M.C. Jennings, R.J. Puddephatt, Chem.-Eur. J. 8 (2002) 735;
  - (c) M.C. Brandys, R.J. Puddephatt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (2002) 3946.
- [10] (a) C.Y. Su, A.M. Goforth, M.D. Smith, H.C. zur Loye, Inorg. Chem. 42 (2003) 5685;
  - (b) E.Q. Gao, Z.M. Wang, C.S. Liao, C.H. Yan, New J. Chem. 26 (2002) 1096;
  - (c) J.P. Zhang, Y.Y. Lin, X.C. Huang, X.M. Chen, Chem. Commun. (2005) 1258.
- [11] N. Masciocchi, S. Bruni, E. Cariati, F. Cariati, S. Galli, A. Sironi, Inorg. Chem. 40 (2001) 5897.
- [12] (a) E. Tynan, P. Jensen, N.R. Kelly, P.E. Kruger, A.C. Lwss, B. Moubaraki, K.S. Murray, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (2004) 3440;
  - (b) S. Masaoka, D. Tanaka, Y. Nakanishi, S. Kitagawa, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 43 (2004) 2530;
  - (c) M.L. Tong, S. Hu, J. Wang, S. Kitagawa, S.W. Ng, Cryst. Growth Des. 5 (2005) 837.
- [13] L.R. MacGillivray, J.L. Reid, J.A. Ripmeester, Chem. Commun. (2001) 1034.
- [14] L. Jiang, T.B. Lu, X.L. Feng, Inorg. Chem. 44 (2005) 7056.
- [15] D. Cheng, M.A. Khan, R.P. Houser, Cryst. Growth Des. 4 (2004) 599.
- [16] A.X.S. Bruker, SAINT Software Reference Manual, 1998.
- [17] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELXTLNT Version 5.1, Program for Solution and Refinement of Crystal Structures, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- [18] M. John Plater, M.R. St. J. Foreman, E. Coronado, C.J. Gómez-García, A.L.Z. Slawin, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1999) 4209.
- [19] (a) X.J. Li, R. Cao, D.F. Sun, D.Q. Yuan, W.H. Bi, X. Li, Y.Q. Wang, J. Mol. Struct. 694 (2004) 205;
  - (b) X.J. Li, D.F. Sun, R. Cao, Y.Q. Sun, Y.Q. Wang, W.H. Bi, S.Y. Gao, M.C. Hong, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 6 (2003) 908.
- [20] Y.B. Go, X.Q. Wang, E.V. Anokhina, A.J. Jacobson, Inorg. Chem. 44 (2005) 8265.
- [21] (a) J. Tao, M.L. Tong, J.X. Shi, X.M. Chen, S.W. Ng, Chem. Commun. (2000) 2043;
  - (b) Y.F. Zhou, Y.J. Zhao, D.F. Sun, J.B. Weng, R. Cao, M.C. Hong, Polyhedron 22 (2003) 1231;
  - (c) J. Tao, X. Yin, Z.B. Wei, R.B. Huang, L.S. Zheng, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. (2004) 125;
  - (d) J. Tao, J.X. Shi, M.L. Tong, X.X. Zhang, X.M. Chen, Inorg. Chem. 40 (2001) 6328;
  - (e) X.L. Wang, C. Qin, E.B. Wang, Y.G. Li, N. Hao, C.W. Hu, L. Xu, Inorg. Chem. 43 (2004) 1850.
- [22] Z.Z. Lin, F.L. Jiang, L. Chen, D.Q. Yuan, M.C. Hong, Inorg. Chem. 44 (2005) 73.
- [23] W. Chen, J.Y. Wang, C. Cheng, Q. Yue, H.M. Yuan, J.S. Chen, S.N. Wang, Inorg. Chem. 42 (2003) 944.